# Three Degrees of Freedom

OR

# BENEFITS OF THE BTS PROFILE OF LINPORT

# Linport project

# Presenter Alan K. Melby

Chair of the Translation Technology Committee of FIT (the international federation of translators)

ATA-certified French-to-English translator

# Who's behind the Linport project?

- Container project and MED project (merged)
- GALA (GALA Standards Initiative)
- Directorate-General for Translation (European Commission) ("institutional collaboration of a technical nature" with the proviso that "these technical opinions do not necessarily represent the opinion of the European Commission")
- FIT (Translation Technology Committee)
- BYU-TRG (Translation Research Group)
- LTAC Global (a non-profit consortium)
- Maybe: Interoperability Now! (in discussions)

# Linport

# Language Interoperability Portfolio

#### DURING LINPORT PROJECT DISCUSSIONS, EVERYONE SPEAKS AS AN INDIVIDUAL



Source text

- Specifications (translation request)
  - Instructions to the translator
  - Resources for the translator
  - See <u>www.ttt.org/specs</u> for more
- Deliverables
  - The translation and anything else to be delivered at the end of the project

# **Projects and Tools**

- Should the translator be required to use a specific tool, such as Trados Studio 2009?
- Sometimes this is necessary; sometimes flexibility is possible (i.e. allowing a choice of tools for a given project)
- Even if a particular tool must be used, can the project elements be independent of the that tool?

#### Claim

 All the elements of a translation project should be available in a way that that is toolvendor neutral

# • Analogies:

- An e-mail can be sent and received by any e-mail software on any computer
- •Many products can be transported via ship, airplane, or truck in ISO 668 containers

# A translation project container

# What should it look like?



# The Linport answer

# Instead of:

a container (physical box)

# a portfolio (digital package)

One file or

A collection of Web addresses (URLs)

#### Problem

Current translation packages use tool-specific formats that are not interoperable

### Solution: BTS

# **BTS**

Bilingual

Translation-project with

**S**pecifications

# What is BTS?

• A **profile** (i.e. subset) of the full Linport data model

#### What is in a BTS instance?

- Portfolio information
  - An identifier and version
- Source text
- Translation (target text) when completed
- Project Specifications (i.e. detailed request)
- Reference material

#### Are there similar formats to BTS?

- Yes
  - •TIPP format from Interoperability Now!
- Interoperability Now! (IN!) is also working on improving XLIFF
  - This is outside the scope of Linport
- Important
  - Avoid competition between Linport and IN!

#### **Technical Information about BTS**

- A design document for BTS ("blueprint")
- Sample BTS instance in Xdossier style
  - Using key-value pairs in plain text
- Sample BTS instance in XML style
- BTS instance builder (Web-based software)
- Comparison with a TIPP instance

# Potential Benefits of the Linport format

### • First degree of freedom:

• Those requesting translation services are free to select from a larger pool of translators, with a focus on skills and knowledge rather than which tool the translators uses

# Second degree of freedom:

 Translation service providers (project managers and translators) are free to choose tool or tools for project

# Third degree of freedom:

o Tool providers are free compete on a level playing field

- Structural styles
  - oWhat structural styles should be used to implement BTS? (e.g. XML vs. keyvalue pairs in plain text?)
    - This is not determined by the BTS data model

- Is the BTS data model
  - oToo simple
  - o Too complex
  - oJust right

- Which elements of a BTS should be
  - Optional
  - Required

- Should a BTS instance be
  - Machine processable (automatically) by tools?

• Intelligible to a human using only a browser?

OBoth?

- How should BTS relate to TIPP?
  - Should they be separate formats
    - \*(tool vendors asked to implement both)
  - Should they merge into one format?

- How should BTS relate to Content Management Systems?
  - Should there be many converters, each specific to one CMS?
  - Should there be one converter, to and from CMIS (OASIS)?

- Under what license should the BTS documents and software be made available to the public?
  - oEUPL? (
    http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/7774.html)
  - Eclipse? (<a href="http://www.eclipse.org/legal/eplfaq.php">http://www.eclipse.org/legal/eplfaq.php</a>)
  - Creative Commons (CCo; Attribution)?

# Potential Benefits of the Linport format

### • First degree of freedom:

• Those requesting translation services are free to select from a larger pool of translators, with a focus on skills and knowledge rather than which tool the translators uses

# Second degree of freedom:

 Translation service providers (project managers and translators) are free to choose tool or tools for project

# Third degree of freedom:

o Tool providers are free compete on a level playing field

# What is the future of Linport (including BTS)?

Blueprint (LTAC)

Industry Standard

(Oasis + ETSI)

ISO Standard

(via TC 37)

#### **Contact Information**

- Alan K. Melby
- info@linport.org
- www.linport.org